ca



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,686	03/15/2001	James G. Watson	21046-PA	5132
7:	590 02/14/2002			
LEONARD BLOOM & ASSOCIATES, LLC Intellectual Property Law Offices 401 Washington Avenue, Suite 905 Towson, MD 21204			EXAMINER	
			DEXTER, CLARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/808,686 Applicant(s)

Watson

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit 3724

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation.					
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will					
	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this					
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 26, 2	2001					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act	ion is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above, claim(s) 6-13 and 15	is/are withdrawn from consideratio					
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 14	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/at	e objected to by the Examiner.					
	6, 2001 is: a is: a					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
. Acknowledgement is made of a dialin for domestic	priority under do did.d. 3 110(o).					
Attachment(s)						
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686

07/000,000

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed November 26, 2001 has been entered. It is noted that in view of the new amendment practice under 37 CFR 1.121 which became mandatory for all amendments on March 1, 2001, and due to the limited amount of examining time per application, if the amendment contains changes to existing language that requires a marked-up version showing those changes, the Examiner is relying upon the marked-up version(s) for examination of the application. It is applicant's responsibility to ensure that the clean version(s) is (are) the same as the marked-up version(s). It is further noted that the clean version(s) is (are) considered to be the Official version(s).

Drawings

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on November 26, 2001 have been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sberveglieri, pn 5,943,932.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wiater, pn 3,841,188.

Wiater discloses an apparatus with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including a housing (e.g., 10).

In the alternative, if it is argued that a housing is not disclosed, the Examiner takes Official notice that housings for use in such apparatus, for example table saws, are old and well known in the art and provide various known benefits including enclosing the area under the work surface to protect the saw assembly as well as safety considerations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a housing on the apparatus of Wiater for the well known benefits including those described above.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiater, pn 3,841,188 in view of Sberveglieri, pn 5,720,213.

Wiater discloses an apparatus with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks a rod as claimed. Sherveglieri discloses such a rod and teaches various benefits including facilitating operation and control of the saw from outside the housing.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the rod and related structure of Sherveglieri on the apparatus of Wiater for the benefits taught by Sherveglieri including that described above.

Regarding claim 14, Wiater discloses an apparatus which includes a housing (e.g., 10). In the alternative, if it is argued that a housing is not disclosed, the Examiner takes Official notice that housings for use in such apparatus, for example table saws, are old and well known in the art and provide various known benefits including enclosing the area under the work surface to protect the saw assembly as well as safety considerations. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a housing on the apparatus of Wiater for the well known benefits including those described above.

8. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sberveglieri, pn 5,720,213 in view of Wiater, pn 3,841,188.

Sberveglieri discloses an apparatus with almost every structural limitation of the claimed invention but lacks a work-holding member as claimed. However, the Examiner takes Official

Application/Control Number: 09/808,686 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

notice that such work-holding members are old and well known in the art as evidenced by Wiater for various known benefits including for positioning a workpiece during a cutting operation.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a work-holding member on the apparatus of Sberveglieri for the well known benefits including that described above.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is (703) 308-1404. The examiner's typical work schedule is Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and he can be reached during normal business hours on these days.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Allan Shoap, can be reached at (703)308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148. The fax numbers for this group are: formal papers - (703)305-3579; informal/draft papers - (703)305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter | Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3724

cfd

February 11, 2002